



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/773,197                | 01/31/2001                     | Leland James Wiesehuegel | AUS920000945US1     | 4475             |
|                           | 7590 02/12/2007<br>ATION (RHF) | EXAMINER                 |                     |                  |
| C/O ROBERT                | H. FRANTZ                      | GARCIA, ERNESTO          |                     |                  |
| P. O. BOX 233<br>OKLAHOMA | CITY, OK 73123                 |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           | •                              |                          | 3679                |                  |
|                           |                                |                          |                     |                  |
|                           |                                |                          | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                           |                                |                          | 02/12/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR I PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ·        | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|----------|---------------------|
| 09/773,197                   |             |  |          |                     |
|                              |             |  | EXAMINER |                     |
|                              |             |  | ART UNIT | PAPER               |
|                              | ·           |  |          | 20070205            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

**Commissioner for Patents** 

The reply brief filed on January 17, 2007 has been acknowledged. In particular, the remarks to the non-appealable matters have been noted.

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

proceeding.

## In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

| In re the Application of:         |   |                             |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Leland James Wiesehuegel          | ) | •                           |
| Serial Number: 09/773,197         | ) | Group:3679                  |
| Docket Number: AUS920000945US1    | ) | Examiner: Eric K. Nicholson |
| Filed on: 01/31/2001              | ) |                             |
| For: "Dynamic Catalog for On-Line | ) |                             |
| Offering and Bid System"          | ) |                             |

Noted 2/7/07 DPS

### **REPLY BRIEF**

#### In Appellants' Second Appeal Reinitiation

Appellants maintain all arguments presented in their Appeal Brief, and wish to respond to the following specific points made in the Examiner's Answer dated 11/17/2006.

#### Objections are Reviewable by the Board

In the Examiner's Answer, it was stated that the objections from which the Appellants have requested relief are not appeallable matter, but instead are petitionable matter, citing MPEP §1002 and §1201.

The Board has proper jurisdiction to review all of these objections because:

(a) The Board has jurisdiction over the examiner's decision to <u>finally</u> deny the patentability of this patent application because some of the claims have been rejected at least twice or have been finally rejections:

35 U.S.C. §134(a) ... An applicant for a patent, any of whose claims has been twice rejected, may appeal from the decision of the primary examiner to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences ...

The facts of this case are that this application has been finally rejected *three* times, and *twice* reopened for examination following filing of Appeal Briefs.